

Carnegie Mellon Software Engineering Institute – Europe

The NPVI Method to Support Market Entry Strategies for Software

Hans Sassenburg Software Engineering Institute – Europe

Egon Berghout Centre of IT Economics Research



Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Study Overview
- 3. Market Entry Strategies
- 4. NPVI Method
- 5. Towards Informed Decision-making
- 6. Conclusions



1. Introduction

Society's increasing software dependence:

- Software-based systems replace older technologies in safety- or mission-critical applications
- Software moves from an auxiliary to a primary role in providing critical services
- Software becomes the only way of performing some function which is not perceived as critical but whose failures would deeply affect individuals or groups
- Software-provided services become increasingly an accepted part of everyday life without any special scrutiny
- Software-based systems are increasingly integrated and interacting, often without effective human control (source: Littlewood and Strigini 2000)



Some facts

- Software size and amount/variety of software applications increases exponentially
- Increased size leads to (source: Jones 2002)
 - Higher defect potentials
 - Lower defect removal efficiencies
 - And thus: Higher defect densities at release time

Conclusion:

• Importance of software release decisions is likely to increase

But:

• Limited theory available



Study Objective

"How to improve strategic software release decisions?"

Focus on decisions with strategic value, defined as:

- Existence of large financial prospective loss outcomes.
- Including the presence of high costs to reversing the software release decision

Reason:

- Justifies applying a methodology.
- Higher relevance for society (manufacturers and users).



2. Study Overview





Release Decision Methodology









This presentation

Focus on 2 Process Areas:

1. Release Definition:

How to compare and evaluate different release alternatives?

2. Release Information

What is the optimal level of information?



3. Market Entry Strategies

Examples:

- First mover
- Lowest development cost
- Unique features
- Highest quality

Theory:

- Limited
- General nature (single case studies, game simulation)



Simple product life-cycle





Delayed market-entry



Version 1.0



Extended Model with Cost Functions



© 2005 by SEI - Europe

Version 1.0

SECR 2005



Resulting Profit Model



© 2005 by SEI - Europe

Version 1.0

SECR 2005



Delayed Market Entry



Version 1.0



Resulting Profit Model



Version 1.0

SECR 2005



Example

T _r = 50 weeks W = 50 weeks	D = 0 wk	D = 2.5 wk	D = 5 wk	D = 7.5 wk	D = 10 wk
Asset value (C)	-	-7%	-14%	-21%	-28%
Development cost (I)	-	10%	21%	32%	44%
Operational cost (M)	-	-7%	-14%	-21%	-28%
Net asset value (NAV) ($C_{max} = 8$, $I_{max} = 5$, $M_{max} = 5$)	-	-25%	-50%	-75%	-100%



4. NPVI Method



NPVI = Net Present Value Incentive

Calculates difference in two NPVs from underlying metrics: premiums and advantages

© 2005 by SEI - Europe

Version 1.0



How it works (1):

- 1. Determine Base strategy b
 - C_b, I_b, M_b, T_b, r_b
- 2. Determine Test strategy a, relative to Base strategy b
 - C_b, I_b, M_b, T_b, r_b
- 3. Calculate
 - Early Entry Premium
 - Product Functionaliy Premium
 - Product Reliability Premium
 - Short-term Maintenance Premium (SMP)
 - Long-term Maintenance Premium





How it works (2):

- 4. Calculate
- Asset Value Advantage
- Operational Cost Advantage
- Net Asset Value Advantage
- Present Value Incentive
- Net Present Value Incentive Decision rule:
 - > 0: choose Test strategy
 - < 0: choose Base strategy

 $= log C_a - log C_b$ = log (1 + EEP + PFP + PRP)

$$= log M_b - log M_a$$
$$= -log (1 - SMP - LMP)$$

$$= \log NAV_a - \log NAV_b$$

$$= [PV_a - PV_b] / NAV_b$$

$$= \frac{NPV_b - NPV_a}{NAV_b + I_b}$$

$$\frac{(PV_a - I_a - PV_b + I_b)}{(NAV_b + I_b)}$$

Version 1.0

=



Net Present Value Incentive method





5. Towards Informed Decision-making

Types of decision-making:

- 1. Certainty. The alternatives can be evaluated without consideration of any scenarios.
- 2. Informed Uncertainty (risk). The alternatives can be evaluated with considerations of some scenarios, whereby the chance of occurrence of each scenario can be quantified with probability or possibility values.
- 3. Complete Uncertainty. The alternatives can be evaluated with considerations of some scenarios but the chance of occurrence of each scenario cannot be quantified.



Results from case studies

- 1. High presence of complete uncertainty.
- 2. Both for routine and nonroutine market entry decisions.
- 3. Reactive behaviour regarding information collection (during testing phase: too late).
- 4. Result:
 - Market window: unknown, but high time pressure
 - Reliability:

unknown, but high time pressure probably 'good enough' unknown

- Maintainability: unknown
- 5. Put differently:
 - EEP, PFP, PRP, SMP, LMP unknown
 - Limited value of NPVI method



Sources of Information





Information has Price in Cost/Time





Zone of Cost Effectiveness





Challenges Software Industry

- Focus on:
 - Business-oriented approach (numbers matter)
 - Informed decision-making: move to zone of cost effectiveness
- Understand and handle behavioural aspects
 - develop common objectives among stakeholders
 - Avoid sources of conclict
 - Will reduce potential differences in aspiration levels
- Increase organizational learning
 - Single-loop learning: more empirical data
 - Double-loop learning: increased process maturity



6. Conclusions

- 1. Importance of software release decisions likely to increase
- 2. Although a problematic area, limited theory/practice available
- 3. NPVI method
 - Powerful to compare and evaluate different market entry strategies
- 4. Information perfection
 - Three main sources of information (C, I, M)
 - Information level must be within zone of cost effectiveness
- 5. Increasing maturity needed to move from complete uncertainty to informed decision-making

Version 1.0



Further Information

Research report expected to be available late 2005

Please contact:

Hans Sassenburg (<u>hanss@sei.cmu.edu</u>) SEI – Europe GmbH An der Welle 4 D-60322 Frankfurt

Egon Berhout (<u>e.w.berghout@eco.rug.nl</u>) Centre of IT Economics Research University of Groningen P.O. Box 800 NL-9700 AV Groningen

Version 1.0